



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/028,381

12/21/2001

Amr Yassin

US 010662

4887

24737

7590

06/11/2009

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

CHANKONG, DOHM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2452

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/11/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/028,381	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YASSIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DOHM CHANKONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2452	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-15 and 17-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-15, and 17-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Art Unit: 2452

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to Applicant's arguments filed on 4/15/2009. Claims 14 and 20 are amended. Claims 4 and 16 were previously canceled. Claim 21 is added. Accordingly, claims 1-3, 5-15, and 17-21 are presented for further examination.

2. This action is a final rejection.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's primary argument is that Kovaless only teaches transmitting a stylesheet identifier a data repository and not the stylesheet itself. The cited section at column 10, lines 61-67 in Kovaless recites:

"...if the user is using a computer, he may select a particular stylesheet from a graphical user interface, *or he may perhaps have a default stylesheet stored in configuration information of his computer where that information can be transmitted to the TTS system either automatically or upon request*" (italics added).

Applicant argues that the italicized portion only refers to "information relating to the stylesheet...i.e., a link." In support of this interpretation, Applicant refers to the sentence preceding the cited section above which recites allowing a user to identify a stylesheet to be used. Applicant's argument has been considered but is not persuasive because the examiner interprets the "configuration information" as containing the stylesheet.

The particular language of the cite expressly states that the stylesheet is "stored *in*" configuration information. Applicant's interpretation of configuration information as a simple link is not consistent with Kovaless' description because a link, as Applicant stresses, is merely an identifier. A stylesheet cannot be stored in a link.

Art Unit: 2452

A straightforward reading of the language is that the configuration information contains the stylesheet. And therefore since the configuration information is transmitted to the TTS system (data repository) then the stylesheet is transmitted along with it. Thus, Kovales discloses the claim limitation of transmitting the stylesheet to a repository to provide personalized services for the user. For the foregoing reasons, Applicant's argument is not found persuasive.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3 and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Zintel et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,910,068), hereinafter referred to as Zintel, in further view of Kovales et al, U.S. Patent No. 7,062,437 ["Kovales"].

5. Zintel is directed to an xml-based template language for providing automatic self-configuration of devices on a network [column 1 «lines 16-21»] in part through the use of stylesheets [column 51 «lines 1-9»]. Similarly, Kovales is directed to an invention that uses xsl stylesheets to adapt requested content to a specific format desired by the user [column 4 «lines 53-58»].

Art Unit: 2452

6. As to claim 1, Zintel does not expressly disclose that the device format preference sent from a device to the repository includes format information for needed data. However, a user sending a device format preference that includes format information to a repository was a well known feature in the art at the time of Applicant's invention as evidenced by Kovales.

Specifically, Kovales discloses that a user device may automatically transmit a stylesheet to a repository to provide a personalized experience for the user device [column 8 «lines 51-60» | column 10 «lines 62-67»]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Zintel's announce packets to include the format information rather than a link to the format information as taught by Kovales. Kovales teaches that one benefit of this modification would allow users to customize and control the presentation of information and overriding default styles established at the server [see Kovales, column 8 «lines 28-37 and 51-60»].

7. Thereby the combination of Zintel and Kovales discloses:

- <Claim 1>

A method for utilizing a data format preference of a device, comprising:

connecting a device to a network having a data repository [Zintel, column 2 «lines 62-67»];

sending a device format preference to said data repository in response to said connecting at a time the device is initially connected to the network [Zintel, column 50, lines 23-34 and column 50, line 64 through column 51, line 9], the device format preference including format information for needed data [Kovales, column 8 «lines 51-60» | column 10 «lines 62-67»];

Art Unit: 2452

saving the device format preference with a network address of the device to be used as a device identifier by the data repository [Zintel, column 3 «lines 5-10» | column 8 «lines 32-37» | column 19 «lines 30-45»].

utilizing, at the network, the device format preference to select the preferred data format for the needed data, compatible with the needs and capabilities of the device in preparing data for transmission from the data repository to said device [Zintel, column 51 «lines 1-9» : xsl files used for extracting different types of data such as text or images for “optimal presentation”]; and

sending the needed data to said device in the preferred data format [column 51 «lines 1-9 and 22-27»];

- «Claim 2»

The method of claim 1, further comprising saving the device format preference in the data repository [Zintel, column 51 «lines 1-3»: stylesheets are stored on a server as evidenced by the fact that they are access through URL].

- «Claim 3»

The method of claim 1, further comprising the device format preference is sent with a device identifier [column 49 «lines 28-36»: xml device description stored with the xsl stylesheet id].

- <Claim 5>

The method of claim 1, wherein the device connected to the network sends the device format preference each further time it is connected to the network [Zintel, column 50, lines 23-34 and column 50, line 64 through column 51, line 9];

Art Unit: 2452

- «Claim 6»

The method of claim 1, wherein the network is an automatic configuration network, so that any device connected thereto sends the device format preference upon initial connection to the network [Zintel, column 50, lines 23-24 and column 50, line 64 to column 51, line 9 and Kovales, column 8 «lines 51-60» | column 10 «lines 62-67»: teaching automatically sending the user's preferred stylesheet].

- «Claim 7»

The method of claim 1, further comprising:

sending a request for specific information by the device (Zintel, column 50 «lines 55-63»);

extracting, by the data repository from data storage, specific information (Zintel, column 51 «lines 17-27»: request for specific services);

retrieving the device format preference by the data repository using a device identifier (Zintel, column 49 «lines 28-36» | column 50 «line 61»);

formatting the specific information according to the device format preference (Zintel, column 51 «lines 3-9»); and

sending the specific information over the network to the device from the data repository (Zintel, column 51 «lines 28-32»).

- «Claim 8»

The method according to claim 7, wherein the device is an electronic device, and the request for the specific information and device format preference are embodied as one or more data packets (Zintel, column 50 «lines 28-32 and 64-67»)

Art Unit: 2452

- «Claim 9»

The method according to claim 7, wherein the data repository is an extensible Markup Language (XML) data repository (Zintel, column 49 «lines 3-27» | Figure 15).

- «Claim 10»

The method according to claim 7 wherein the data repository includes an extensible Markup Language (XML) database in communication with an Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformation (XSLT) engine in communication with the network (Zintel, column 51 «lines 3-35»: Zintel does not expressly disclose an XSLT engine but it is implied from Zintel's teaching of transforming XML using XSL stylesheets).

- «Claim 11»

The method according to claim 7, wherein the request for information is in an Extensible Stylesheet Language (XSL) stylesheet (Zintel, column 51 «lines 3-9»).

- «Claim 12»

The method according to claim 7, wherein the network is an In-Home Digital Network (IHDN) (Zintel, column 2 «lines 21-25 and 43-48» | column 7 «lines 9-10»: discussion of home networks and digital devices).

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Zintel and Kovals, in further view of Schwalb, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003|0033607.

9. As to claim 13, Zintel does not expressly disclose that the specific information is electronic program guide (EPG) information. However, providing EPG information through the



Art Unit: 2452

use of XSL stylesheets was a well known feature in the art at the time of Applicant's invention as evidenced by Schwalb. Schwalb discloses automatically generating EPG information in real-time for provisioning to user devices [0006]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included Schwalb's EPG teachings into Zintel's system. Zintel discloses that his invention is applicable to home network devices such as a digital TV [column 7 «lines 9-10»]. One of ordinary skill in the art would have realized the benefit providing EPG information with respect to Zintel's digital TV [see Schwalb, 0002: discussion of EPG and digital televisions].

- «Claim 13»

The method according to claim 7, wherein the device is any one of the group consisting of:

a personal computer, personal digital assistant, television, video cassette recorder, personal video recorder, remote control, and audio system [Zintel, column 2 «lines 43-47 | column 46 «lines 2-15»]; and the specific information requested is electronic program guide information (Schwalb, 0006).

10. Claims 14, 15 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimoto, U.S. Patent No. 6,792,577 in view of Zintel, in further view of Kovales.

11. Kimoto disclosed a system for using style sheets which define the expression and form of documents to be transmitted in which key data can be used to protect the style sheets. In an analogous art, Zintel disclosed a system that uses an XML-based template language to describe

Art Unit: 2452

device characteristics of devices connected via universal plug and play. Kovales is directed to an invention that uses xsl stylesheets to adapt requested content to a specific format desired by the user.

12. Concerning claim 14, Kimoto did not explicitly state sending the device format preference upon connection to the network. However, automatically sending control information upon a device's connection to a network was well known in the art as evidenced by Zintel whose UPnP devices send format information to other devices in the network upon connection to the network [Zintel, column 50, lines 23-24 and column 50, line 64 to column 51, line 9].

Concerning claims 14, and 20, Kimoto does disclose that a user can request that data be presented based on a particular style sheet but does not expressly disclose selecting specific data from a document compatible with the needs and capabilities of the device. However, Zintel discloses that selecting a particular style sheet is a proxy action for selecting specific types of information [column 49 «lines 30-36» where: different style sheets may extract and show only file selections, file sizes or image files]. The data format is compatible with the capabilities of the device [see Zintel, abstract : discovery of the device's capabilities | column 51 «lines 1-9» : xsl files used for “optimal presentation”]. The disclosure that the style sheets are for “optimal presentation” on a device clearly implies that the presentation is specifically tailored to the requesting device's capabilities.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Kimoto by adding the ability to send the device format preference upon connection to the network and selection of specific data from a document as

Art Unit: 2452

provided by Zintel. Here the combination satisfies the need for a device connectivity model without persistent device configuration and for the ability to present different view of the same data depending on the capabilities of the device [Zintel, column 2, lines 57-61 and column 50 «line 64» to column 51 «line 9»]. This rationale also applies to those dependent claims utilizing the same combination.

Kimoto also fails to expressly disclose “saving the device format preference with a network address of the device to be used as a device identifier by the data repository.” However, Zintel provides a teaching that remedies this deficiency. Zintel discloses a description document which corresponds to the device format reference and a device identifier which corresponds to the network address of the device [column 3 «lines 5-10» | column 8 «lines 32-37»]. In order to address the device, Zintel discloses saving the document along with the device identifier [column 19 «lines 30-45»]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further adapted Kimoto's system to include Zintel's teachings of storing the format preference with the network locator of the device. Zintel teaches that such a function allows for easier retrieval of the device's description and information.

Finally, Kimoto and Zintel do not expressly disclose that the device format preference sent from a device to the repository includes format information for needed data. However, a user sending a device format preference that includes format information to a repository was a well known feature in the art at the time of Applicant's invention as evidenced by Kovales. See the rejection of claim 1 for combination motivation.

13. All citations are to Kimoto unless otherwise noted.

Art Unit: 2452

14. Thereby, the combination of Kimoto, Zintel, and Kovales discloses:

- <Claim 14>

A method for recognizing a preferred format of a device comprising:

connecting the device to an In-Home Digital Network (IHDN) that has an extensible Markup Language (XML) data repository (Kimoto, figure 1; column 6, lines 40-48; and column 11, line 64 through column 12, line 5);

sending, in response to said connecting at a time the device is initially connected to the network or each time said device is connected to the network (Kimoto, column 15, lines 21-34 and column 16, lines 7-9, and Zintel, column 50, lines 23-34 and column 50, line 64 through column 51, line 9), an Extensible Style sheet Language (XSL) style sheet request for excerpted electronic programming guide (EPG) information, said stylesheet request including a device format preference from the device which includes format preference including format information for needed data [Kovales, column 8 «lines 51-60» | column 10 «lines 62-67»], said stylesheet request being sent over the IHDN to an Extensible Style sheet Language Transformation (XSLT) engine in communication with the XML data repository [Kimoto, column 16, lines 7-31. column 11 «lines 54-56» | column 14 «lines 1-6 and 29-33»];

utilizing, on the network, said device format preference to the select specific data from the document, compatible with the needs and capabilities of the device, from the XML data repository in preparing data for transmission to said device (Kimoto, Figure 9 : sending the style sheet request | column 16, lines 29-41 and Zintel, column 51 «lines 1-9»

Art Unit: 2452

: xsl files used for extracting different types of data such as text or images for “optimal presentation”); and

transmitting data to said device in the preferred device format (Kimoto, column 16, lines 48-63).

- «Claim 15»

The method of claim 14, wherein the step of utilizing comprises the steps of: extracting the requested excerpted EPG information by the XSLT engine from the XML data repository (Kimoto, column 16, lines 35-41); and formatting the excerpted EPG information in accordance with said device format preference (Kimoto, column 16, lines 42-47).

- <Claims 20 and 21>

A system and a device for using a format preferred for a device, the system comprising:

a network that includes a data repository (Kimoto, figure 1; column 6, lines 40-48; and column 11, line 64 through column 12, line 5);

said device, connected to the network and having a data format preference (Kimoto, figure 5, item 18/68 and column 15, line 64 through column 16, line 2), said data format preference including format information for needed data [Kovales, column 8 «lines 51-60» | column 10 «lines 62-67»]; and

a data packet containing a request for specific information, said data packet including said data format preference specifying specific data of a document to select the specific data from the document, compatible with needs and capabilities of the device,

Art Unit: 2452

wherein said data packet is prepared by the device and transmitted over the network to said data repository (Kimoto, Figure 9 : sending the style sheet request | column 16, lines 29-41 | column 15, lines 21-34 | column 16, lines 7-9 and Zintel, column 51 «lines 1-9» : xsl files used for extracting different types of data such as text or images for “optimal presentation”), the specific data including a specified portion of the document, wherein the specified portion is the document in full when only a name of the document is included [Figure 5 : see broadcast contents which specify that "Only Document Body" is transmitted to the TV. The document body reads on Applicant's claimed "document in full" | column 11 «lines 54-56» | column 14 «lines 1-6 and 29-33»];

wherein said data packet is prepared by the device and transmitted over the network to said data repository, said network being configured for using said data format preference in preparing the specific information for transmission to said device from stored by said data repository (column 16, lines 29-41), wherein the specific information requested is electronic programming guide information (column 16, lines 60-63).

- «Claim 17»

The system of claim 20, wherein the data repository extracts the specific information of the request, formats the specific information in accordance with said data format preference, and transmits the specific information over the communication network to the device (Kimoto, column 16, lines 42-63).

- «Claim 18»

The system according to claim 20, wherein the data repository is an extensible Markup Language (XML) data repository, which includes an XML database in

Art Unit: 2452

connection with an Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformation (XSLT) engine, and the request for specific information and the device format preference are in an Extensible Stylesheet Language (XSL) stylesheet (column 16, lines 7-31).

- «Claim 19»

The system according to claim 20, wherein the network is an In-Home Digital Network (IHDN) (Kimoto, figure 1).

Since all the limitations of the invention as set forth in claims 17-20 were disclosed by Kimoto and Zintel, claims 1-3, 5-15 and 17-20 are rejected.

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2452

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DOHM CHANKONG whose telephone number is (571)272-3942. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday [8:30 AM to 4:30 PM].

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 571.272.3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dohm Chankong/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452